GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
ABSTRACT

Public Services - Prakasam District - Sri M.Vijayasimha Reddy, the then Tahsildar, Renigunta Mandal (FAC), Tirupati (Retd) - Violation of APCS (Conduct) Rules, 1964 - Departmental proceedings under rule 20 of A.P.C.S. (CC & A) Rules, 1991 r/w Rule 9 of A.P.R.P.Rules, 1980 - Articles of charges - Issued.

****

REVENUE (VIGILANCE.III) DEPARTMENT

G.O.Rt.No. 984

Dated:26.10.2023
Read the following:-

1. From the CCLA, Ref.Lr.No. V.S-II(1)/786/2021, dt: 27/07/2022.
2. G.O.Ms.No.506, Revenue (Vig.III) Department, Dt:26.10.2023.

--***--

ORDER:

In pursuance of the sanction accorded in the reference 2nd read above, it is proposed to hold an enquiry against Sri M.Vijayasimha Reddy, the then Tahsildar, Renigunta Mandal (FAC), Tirupati (Retd) in accordance with the procedure laid down in Rule 20 of the Andhra Pradesh Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules, 1991.

2. The substance of the imputations of misconduct or misbehavior in respect of which the enquiry is proposed to be held is set out in the enclosed statement of Articles of charges (Annexure–I). A statement of imputations of misconduct or misbehavior in support of each article of charge is enclosed (Annexure-II). A list of documents by which, and a list of witnesses by whom, the articles of charges are proposed to be sustained are also enclosed (Annexure - III & IV).

3. Sri M.Vijayasimha Reddy, Tahsildar (FAC) (Retd) is directed to submit, within 10 days of the receipt of this order, a written statement of his defense.

4. Sri M.Vijayasimha Reddy, Tahsildar (FAC) (Retd) is informed that an enquiry will be held only in respect of those articles of charges as are not admitted. He should therefore, specifically admit or deny each article of charge.

5. Sri M.Vijayasimha Reddy, Tahsildar (FAC) (Retd) is further informed that if he does not submit his written statement of defense on or before the date specified in Para–3 above, further action will be processed based on the material available.

6. Attention of Sri M.Vijayasimha Reddy, the then Tahsildar, Renigunta Mandal (FAC), Tirupati (Retd) is invited to Rule 24 of A.P.C.S (Conduct) Rules 1964, under which no Government servant shall bring or attempt to bring any political or outside influence to bear upon any superior authority to further his interests in respect of matters pertaining to his service under the Government. If any representation is received on his behalf from another person in respect of any

(P.T.O)

matter dealt with in these proceedings it will be presumed that Sri M.Vijayasimha Reddy, Tahsildar (FAC) (Retd) is aware of such a representation and that it has been made at his instance and action will be taken against him for violation of Rule 24 of the Andhra Pradesh Civil Services (Conduct) Rules, 1964.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

G. SAI PRASAD
SPECIAL CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT (FAC)

To
Sri M.Vijayasimha Reddy, the then Tahsildar, Renigunta Mandal (FAC), Tirupati (Retd)through the Collector & District Magistrate, Tirupati.

Copy to:
The Chief Commissioner of Land Administration, A.P., Mangalagiri.
The Collector & District Magistrate, Tirupati.
Sf/Sc.

//FORWARDED::BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER

ANNEXURE –I

STATEMENT OF ARTICLES OF CHARGES FRAMED AGAINST SRI M.VIJAYASIMHA REDDY, THE THEN TAHSILDAR, RENIGUNTA MANDAL (FAC), TIRUPATI (RETD)

*** ** ***

Article of Charge:

That he being a responsible Government Servant, while working as Tahsildar (FAC), Renigunta, Sri M.Vijayasimha Reddy, Tahsildar (Retd) has failed to take necessary action on the representation of Sri D.Gopinath S/o D.Venkatachalam, Karakambadi Village, Renigunta (M) for keeping the road width of 43 feet as per existing plan in S.No.191/1 & S.No.190/3 of Karakambadi Village, Renigunta Mandal as per orders dt.17.02.2020 of the Hon'ble High Court of AP in W.P.No. 2200/2020, though he has been informed vide Collector, Chittoor Lr.L.Dis.REV-ESEC/28212020-JA-COLL-CTR(282646) dt:04.03.2020 to comply the orders of the Hon'ble Court. Thus, he has shown gross negligence in implementing the orders of the Hon'ble High Court of AP and also the Higher Authorities, thereby causing for filing of Contempt Case No.58212021 before the Hon'ble High Court of AP by the Petitioner against the District Collector, Chittoor. Thus he has violated rule 3 (1)(2)(3) of APCS (Conduct) Rules, 1964.

G. SAI PRASAD
SPECIAL CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT (FAC)

//FORWARDED::BY ORDER//

Nagaprasad
SECTION OFFICER

ANNEXURE–II

STATEMENT OF IMPUTATIONS OF MISCONDUCT OR MISBEHAVIOR IN SUPPORT OF ARTICLES OF CHARGES FRAMED AGAINST SRI M.VIJAYASIMHA REDDY, THE THEN TAHSILDAR, RENIGUNTA MANDAL (FAC), TIRUPATI (RETD)

---***---

One Sri D.Gopinath S/o D.Venkatachalam Karakambadi Village, Renigunta Mandal has filed a representation to the Collector, Chittoor stating that with MGNREGS Funds of Rs.14,00,000/-, a drain is being constructed in their Gram Panchayath from Renigunta - Kadapa Highway to Dodlamitta Village, that in Gram Panchayath, road is situated in the Village Accounts of Karakambadi of Renigunta Mandal Plan marked in S.No.191/1 extent 0.33 cents, S.No.190/3 extent 0.75 cents extended with the width of 43 ft to 66 ft which is situated in front of his house beside his fields; that even though sufficient width of the road is available with 43 ft. to 66 ft. as plan marked in the Revenue sketches, the construction of the drain was carried out keeping the road width 15 ft to 20 ft only and making the road very narrow and congested, that ½ portion of the road merged to the lands of contractor and the A.E., R.W.S., provided benefit for his personal gains; the matter has been brought to the notice of the Mandal Level Authorities, the Tahsildar, Renigunta, and Grama Sachivalayam, Karakambadi, that they are not evincing interest in the matter to remove the illegal encroachments.

Then, the individual has filed W.P.No. 2200/2020 before the Hon'ble High court of A.P, for not considering the representation dt.27.01.2020 to keep the road width 43 feet as per existing plan requesting to direct the respondents to keep the road width 43 Feet as per existing plan in Sy No 191/1 and 190/3 at Karakambadi Village, Renigunta Mandal, Chittoor. The Hon'ble High court of Andhra Pradesh have issued orders in W.P.No.2200/2020 dt.17.02.2020 directing the 2 nd respondent (District Collector, Chittoor) to consider the aforesaid representation of the petitioner and if necessary, afford personal hearing to all concerned and pass an appropriate order in accordance with governing law and Rules expeditiously but not later than four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

The matter was referred to the Tahsildar, Renigunta vide Collector, Chittoor L.Dis.REV- ESEC/282/2020-JA(E6)-COLL-CTR (282846/20) dt.04.03.2020 to comply the orders of the Hon'ble High Court.

Subsequently, the writ petitioner has again moved to the Hon'ble High Court by filing the Contempt Case No. 582 of 2021 for non compliance of the orders issued by the Hon'ble Court.

At this juncture, a show cause notice was issued vide Collector, Chittoor in REV-ESEC/282/2020-JA(E6)-COLL-CTR(282846/20) dt.16.07.2021 to the Tahsildar, Renigunta calling for his explanation for not considering the representation dt.27.01.2020 of the writ petitioner.

Then, the Tahsildar, Renigunta has submitted that the drain work has been commenced on 31.12.2019 and completed on 16.03.2021 and during that

period Sri M.Vijaya Simha Reddy was Tahsildar(FAC), Renigunta Mandal. As such, a show cause notice was issued to Sri M.Vijayasimha Reddy, the then Tahsildar, Renigunta and he has submitted his written explanation.

On perusal of the written explanation of the individual, the District Collector has opined that the explanation is not satisfactory since the court orders have been issued much before Covid Restrictions and proposed to initiate disciplinary action against Sri M.Vijayasimha Reddy, the then Tahsildar, Renigunta.

G. SAI PRASAD
SPECIAL CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT (FAC)

//FORWARDED::BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER

ANNEXURE–III

LIST OF DOCUMENTS BY WHICH ARTICLES OF CHARGES FRAMED AGAINST SRI M.VIJAYASIMHA REDDY, THE THEN TAHSILDAR, RENIGUNTA MANDAL (FAC), TIRUPATI (RETD) ARE PROPOSED TO BE SUSTAINED.

*** ** ***

Basis:
1. Orders dt:17.02.2020 of the Hon'ble High Court of A.P in W.P.No.2200/2020
2. Collector, Chittoor, Lr.Dis.REV-ESEC/282/2020-JA-COLL-CTR (282646), Dt:04.03.2020.
3. Contempt Case No.582/2021 filed before the Hon'ble High court of A.P.

G. SAI PRASAD
SPECIAL CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT (FAC)

//FORWARDED::BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER

ANNEXURE-IV

LIST OF WITNESSES BY WHOM THE ARTICLES OF CHARGES FRAMED AGAINST SRI M.VIJAYASIMHA REDDY, THE THEN TAHSILDAR, RENIGUNTA MANDAL (FAC), TIRUPATI (RETD) ARE PROPOSED TO BE SUSTAINED.

*** ** ***

NIL

G. SAI PRASAD
SPECIAL CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT (FAC)

//FORWARDED::BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER